2025 Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report

January 1, 2025 – December 31, 2025

Public Comment Period
March 11 – 25, 2026

Prepared by:

South Dakota Housing
And
Governor's Office of Economic Development

P.O. Box 1237 – 3060 East Elizabeth Street
Pierre, SD 57501-1237
(605) 773-3181
FAX (605) 773-5154
www.sdhda.org

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 09/30/2021)

(This page was intentionally left blank)

Alternative formats of this document are available upon request.

For information regarding Section 504 Accessibility, contact the SDHDA 504 Coordinator,

Andy Fuhrman at 1-800-540-4241.



CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

2025 - The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (Performance Report) is submitted in response to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's request for a performance summary for the past year, outlining the HOME, Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG), Housing Trust Fund (HTF) and Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) programs. Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) funding for South Dakota has been awarded through the Tri-State HOPWA grant and program outcomes are reported in the Tri-State Annual Performance Report. The period covered in this report is January 1, 2025, to December 31, 2025.

Overall goals of developing viable communities by providing decent housing, suitable living environment, and expanding economic opportunities primarily for low- and moderate-income persons are completed through partnerships among all levels of government and the private sector, including for-profit and non-profit organizations. For the homeless population, South Dakota Housing for the Homeless Consortium (SDHHC) annually applies for Continuum of Care funds. To date, over **$25 million** has been awarded to South Dakota applicants. Goals for Homeless and Special Needs are based on utilizing existing programs - Emergency Solutions Grants Program, HOME, Housing Tax Credits, Housing Trust Fund and CoC funding, while at the same time looking for new funding opportunities to provide additional flexibility to best serve the target population.

The table below indicates the goals and outcomes for 2025 based on project completions during the 2025 calendar year. Per HUD PR 23 HOME Summary of Accomplishments report, 30 HOME rental units were constructed and per the HTF production report 18 HTF units were constructed for a total of 48 new HOME and HTF rental units constructed. The PR23 report indicates 378 households were assisted with security deposit, 0 homebuyer was assisted with homebuyer assistance, 0 single family homes were developed and sold to qualified households, and 22 homeowners received rehabilitation for a total of 448 housing units assisted.

The 2025 goals and outcomes are as follows: Rental new construction of 40 units - 38 were completed (95%)*;* rental rehab of 10 units - 0 completed (0%); 400 households assisted with Security Deposit Assistance - 371 households assisted (95%); construction of 8 units for special needs/service enriched housing - 0 units completed (0%); assisting 2 homebuyers with hombuyer assistance - 0 received assistance (0%); The homeownership rehab goal was 30 units; 22 units were rehabbed (73%).

In reporting year 2025, two projects were substantially completed that would have made progress toward both the rental rehab and special needs/service enriched housing. However, these projects were not captured in year 2025 reporting as they were not ready for final close out due to final due dilligence items being collected and reviewed. Both of those projects will show completed in 2026 reporting.

In 2025, the CDBG program focused on closing outstanding open projects from previous funding years in IDIS. 23 projects were closed in IDIS, dating back to funding year 2016, therefore the amounts reflected in the below table are inflated due to the accumulation of all of the projects and not typical for an average funding year.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Acquisition and Rehabilitation of rental units	Affordable Housing	HOME: $1,000,000 HTF: $500,000 LIHTC: $685,000	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	20	26	130.00%	10	0	0.00%
Community Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $10,176,811	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	6300	40531	643.35%			

Community Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0		1500	24974	1,664.93%
Develop new rental housing units	Affordable Housing	HOME: $2,756,210 HTF: $1,700,104 LIHTC: $2,740,000	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	60	154	256.67%	40	38	95.00%
Development of special needs housing	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: $500,000 HTF: $600,000	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	0	0		8	0	0.00%
Development of special needs housing	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: $500,000 HTF: $600,000	Other	Other	10	8	80.00%			
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $500,000	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	20	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $500,000	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	1	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
Homebuyer Assistance	Affordable Housing	HOME: $200,000	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	8	0	0.00%	5	0	0.00%

Homeowner Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: $1,000,000	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	89	178.00%	30	22	73.33%
Provide homeownership opportunities	Affordable Housing	HOME: $500,000	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	5	2	40.00%	2	0	0.00%
Security Deposit Assistance	Affordable Housing	HOME: $300,000	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	315	1228	389.84%	400	378	94.50%
Utilize Emergency Solution Grants Program	Homeless	ESG: $639,874	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	50	0	0.00%	100	0	0.00%
Utilize Emergency Solution Grants Program	Homeless	ESG: $639,874	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	3000	0	0.00%	2975	0	0.00%
Utilize Emergency Solution Grants Program	Homeless	ESG: $639,874	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	150	0	0.00%	100	0	0.00%
Utilize Emergency Solution Grants Program	Homeless	ESG: $639,874	Other	Other	0	0		25	0	0.00%

Workforce Training	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development/Workforce Training	CDBG: $400,000	Other	Other	200	0	0.00%	50	0	0.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

The State of South Dakota did not receive their 2025 funding until January of 2026. 2025 was used to close outstanding open projects from previous project years dating back to funding year 2016. Projects funded in 2025 were made with leftover funding from previous fundings years, the below projects were awarded in 2025 totaling $8,670,000:

City of Lennox East Oriole Avenue Infrastructure Project = $840,000

City of Veblen Wastewater Infrastructure Project = $1,000,000

City of Eagle Butte Water & Wastewater Infrastructure Project = $2,020,000

City of Springfield 11th, Ask & Oak Street Infrastructure Project = $770,000

City of Viborg Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements = $1,020,000

City of Wessington Wastewater Improvements = $2,020,000

City of Whitewater Amendment to Wastewater Project = $1,000,000

(Remainder of page left intentionally blank)

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HTF
White	35,418	273	11
Black or African American	973	20	3
Asian	463	8	0
American Indian or American Native	3,356	101	4
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	52	0	0
Total	**40,262**	**402**	**18**
Hispanic	2,410	24	0
Not Hispanic	37,852	398	18

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

CDBG closed 23 projects in IDIS in 2025, therefore the data presented is inflated and not typical of an average funding year.

The HOME and HTF Programs completed 440 units but 20 of those units aren't represented in the table above because there is no category for Other or multi-racial (20). There were also 24 households that identified as Hispanic or Latino. PR23 is showing 30 households identifying as Hispanic or Latino. This could be due to a reporting discrepancy between 2024 and 2025, as one project was closed out on 12/30/2024. This reporting was not available in the 2024 CAPER, but was manually counted in that report. This is also reflected in the discrepancy of total households on PR23 vs what is reported above.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	11,241,036	8,814,005
HOME	public - federal	8,179,703	5,001,286
ESG	public - federal	634,071	
HTF	public - federal	5,658,297	3,176,406
LIHTC	public - federal	3,360,000	3,005,052

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Investments were located statewide in both rural and urban locations for Homeowner Rehabilitation projects and Security Deposit Assistance. HOME and Housing Trust funds were expended for eleven developments throughout the state. **The ESG expenditures were provided to 19 recipients located in 15 communities across the state.**

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

In 2025 6 CDBG projects and 1 Amendment were awarded for a total of $8,670,000 to the communities of Lennox, Veblen, Eagle Butte, Springfield, Viborg, Wessington and Whitewater.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The State doesn't require a local match when considering eligibility; instead, it leverages the "Local Effort" and how well the applicant maximizes their local resources when assessing the total grant award. Considering the impact of LMI persons, the "Local Effort" is calculated by the amount of funds raised or contributed to the total project cost. Projects proposing a local match of 31% or greater will receive a high Effort rating, 16% - 30% a medium Effort rating, and 0 – 15% a low Effort rating. A High rating will also be given to applicants that have maximized local resources. The State of South Dakota did not use any publicly owned land or property to address the needs identified in the plan.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	4,021,467
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	298,701
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	4,320,168
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	557,188
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	3,762,980

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period $	Amount received during reporting period $	Total amount expended during reporting period $	Amount expended for TBRA $	Balance on hand at end of reporting period $
6,567,219	2,857,186	1,611,381	61,922	4,955,838

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	**Minority Business Enterprises**				**White Non-Hispanic**
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	**Asian or Pacific Islander**	**Black Non-Hispanic**	**Hispanic**	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Total	**Women Business Enterprises**	**Male**
Contracts			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts			
Number	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	**Minority Property Owners**				**White Non-Hispanic**
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	**Asian or Pacific Islander**	**Black Non-Hispanic**	**Hispanic**	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition		
Parcels Acquired	0	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households Displaced	**Total**	**Minority Property Enterprises**				**White Non-Hispanic**
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	**Asian or Pacific Islander**	**Black Non-Hispanic**	**Hispanic**	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	3,600	84
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	143	356
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	8	0
Total	**3,751**	**440**

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	3,515	440
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	47	48
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	30	22
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	10	0
Total	**3,602**	**510**

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Both tables appear to include a portion of the ESG goal for rental assistance. Please refer to the SAGE for ESG reporting. The only homeless individuals reported were 84 households receiving TBRA security deposit assistance.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Up until the creation of SDHDA's 2021 Annual Action Plan, the goals for the production and rehab of units was tied to SDHDA's overall production of units, not just HOME, HTF, etc. units. After receiving

guidance from HUD that those goals should be tied to HUD program units only, the goals will be decreased accordingly. Unless ESG is included in these goals we will need to decrease our goal for homeless households served. The dollar amount of owner-occupied rehab projects, acquisition rehabilitation of existing rental units, and production of new rental units is steadily increasing which may also cause us to consider decreasing our goal for those activities.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual	HTF Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	339	18
Low-income	0	69	
Moderate-income	0	21	
Total	**0**	**429**	

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

The beneficiaries reported above can be identified by program within the PR 23 Report and Con Plan Goals and Accomplishments report.

The majority of the HOME funding was utilized to assist extremely low-income. The 339 households represent 14 HOME rental units, 322 households receiving security deposit assistance and 3 existing homeowners. The 69 low-income households represent 16 rental units, 48 households receiving security deposit assistance, and 5 existing homeowners. The remaining moderate income households were 21 existing homeowners. Lastly, the 18 exteremely low-income HTF units are made up of new rental units.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

ESG & CoC funding continue supporting shelter & outreach operations. The Coordinated Entry System (CES) provides statewide access to housing with 21 physical access points & a 1-800 call in number. Local, state & federal partners provide street outreach, youth drop in centers, shelter, behavioral health, substance use, domestic violence, public housing & housing navigation to ensure needs are met.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Emergency shelters are located in Sioux Falls, Rapid City, Aberdeen, Eagle Butte, Watertown & Yankton. DV shelters are frequently the only avaialble resource for homeless assistance in rural counties. ESG funded DV shelters are encouraged to serve all households & pursue partnership with agencies to serve those that exceed their capcity. New applications are encouraged from partners to address gaps. Providers are utilizing ESG funds for homeless prevention & rapid rehousing activities, utilizing a housing focused approach.

HOME ARP funding supports non-congregate shelters in Mitchell, Yankton & Watertown and shelter operations in Sioux Falls & Watertown.

YHDP Projects continue in Rapid City & Yankton, providing outreach & transitional housing. A new YHDP RRH project in Sioux Falls began operation in 2025.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

SDHHC has diverse membership addressing the needs of specific populations. The VA, the Dept of Military & Veteran's Affairs, PHAs & service agencies reduce the number of homeless veterans in SD by administering HUD VASH in Sioux Falls, Rapid City, Pine Ridge, Rosebud & Standing Rock Indian Reservations. Volunteers of America, Dakotas administers the Healthcare for Homeless Veterans grant and the Grants Per Diem Transition in Place. Cornerstone Rescue Mission administers the SSVF program statewide. New SSVF agencies include Ponca Tribe (Charles Mix County) & SER National (Buffalo, Todd, Lyman & Oglala County). Five SDHHC member agencies specialize in housing services for homeless youth

working with ESG agencies to find sustainable housing. As the CoC Lead, SDHHC compels all PHAs to include a homeless preference in their HCV program. Sioux Falls Housing continues dedicating a percentage of mainstream vouchers to homelessness. SDHHC has entered into an MOU with Sioux Falls Housing, Pennington County Housing & SD Dept of Social Services to raise awareness & eligiblity screening of youth eligible for FYI through CES. FYI is a tenant based voucher available for youth previously in foster care for up to 36 months.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Our jurisdiction continues to priortize funding & appraoches to serving the needs of the subpopulations listed above. This includes but is not limited to the addition of FYI vouchers inclusion into CE processes, veteran specific committee & BNL as well as VASH integration into HMIS, performance based competitions for all YHDP grant funds in SD 500 as well as our 100% Dedicated PSH programs (for high utilization of chronicity).

As the CoC, ESG & HMIS Lead, SDHDA is in a strong position to monitor & enforce strong performance measures for funded projects for key SPMs such as length of time homeless, exits to permanent destinations & returns to homelessness.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

No public housing units are operated by the South Dakota Housing Development Authority or any other State agency. For this reason, SDHDA has not participated in developing plans to encourage residents of public housing to become more involved in the management of public housing or to become owners of their public housing units. Each individual PHA is encouraged to develop such a plan. South Dakota Housing Development Authority does, however, review the local PHA's plans to determine its consistency with the State's Consolidated Plan and meets regularly with the local Public Housing Authorities to resolve issues common to both South Dakota Housing Development Authority and local agencies.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

SDHDA has no jurisdiction over the PHAs, however, SDHDA does work closely with the PHAs and would be willing to provide assistance when appropriate and possible. SDHDA is not aware of any PHAs being considered as troubled PHAs

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

There are several local and federal policies that have a negative impact on the affordability of housing. At the local level, additional building codes and zoning requirements, which vary by community, can create project delays and additional costs. The continuing rise of property taxes and homeowner's insurance costs negatively impacts homeownership ability in South Dakota.

Federal policies such as Davis Bacon, BABA, and Section 3 reporting requirements result in additional project costs for contractors to cover the administrative expense. The continuing discussion around BABA prevents best practices to be dveloped and implemented. Many PJs are left in limbo while waiting for HUD and the federal government to determine what policies apply to what funding and what may be repealed or not.

While these policies may be justified, the policies are not always relevant based on the community and

the housing developers. The push to develop green and energy efficient housing can also have negative implications. Access to products and expertise of contractors to install specialized products can be cost prohibitive in rural areas. There are many policies that sound good in theory but are not as effective when implemented. These barriers make affordable housing, less affordable. Actions to remove the negative effects of public policies cannot always be taken. As barriers are identified, they are reviewed to determine if their benefit outweighs the barrier and whether or not modifications could be made to the policy to lessen the burden. The questions are then asked and the documentation is gathered to determine the best method of action to move forward.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

In the process of planning for program changes and reviewing applications for funding, it is SDHDA's goal to learn of the underserved needs and try to address them. Allocation plans, scoring criteria and preferences along with additional funding sources would be utilized to entice developers to address the underserved needs. Advocacy groups and service agencies are active partners with SDHDA. SDHDA solicits input from these organizations to help determine how to meet the needs of the underserved.

In 2017, SDHDA prepared the Statewide Analysis of Impediments, which is located on SDHDA's website - www.sdhda.org. The anaysis outlines idenitifed impediments and action steps to be taken to address the impediments. The main focus of the impediments are in relation to 1. reducing discrimination in the housing market; 2. removing difficulties in the home purchase process; 3. lack of affordable housing; 4. lack of education; and 5. tenant selection criteria. Many of these action steps will help to eliminate obstacles for underserved households.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

All housing units funded through HOME, HTF and ESG must be inspected to ensure no lead based paint hazards exist. The mitigation requirements for lead based paint can have a substantial impact on the affordability of housing. SDHDA provides information and ensures any housing financed by HOME, HTF or ESG funds are in compliance. To encourage compliance with this requirement, up to $2,000 per unit of HOME funds can be awarded as grant funds and will not be required to be repaid for the encapsulation, abatement, or stabilization of Lead Based Paint and the corresponding inspection costs. These costs must be pre-approved by SDHDA. The lead based paint information and requirements are outlined within the HOME, HTF and ESG Plans. SDHDA project files incorporate checklists and reporting requirements to ensure lead based paint is addressed for each housing unit and development assisted with either ESG, HTF or HOME funds. If abatement is required, additional documentation is received prior to completion of the project to ensure requirements have been met. SDHDA has also incorporated lead-based paint standards for other SDHDA funding programs to create a consistent and concerted effort to reduce lead-based paint hazards.

To help provide a better understanding of lead-based paint hazards along with other hazards encountered during renovation activity, SDHDA has sponsored workshops for developers and

contractors. SDHDA will continue to provide information, trainings, checklists and other tools as necessary, to ensure these hazards are identified and properly addressed. In 2022, SDHDA sponsored an LBP training held by one of our partner agencies and 12 contractors received their LBP certfications.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Reduction of the number of poverty-level families is a large task that involves more agencies and programs than what is offered by SDHDA and GOED. SDHDA and GOED will work in conjunction with other agencies in implementing poverty reducing goals, programs and policies as appropriate. At this time there are no jurisdictional goals for reducing the number of poverty-level families, however, work is being done in this area through the Continuum of Care and ESG programs and other state initiatives and programs. Under the CDBG program, up to 15% of the CDBG funds are being provided for a job training program, providing the skills being demanded in geographical employment centers, which benefit both the employee and the employer. Increasing job skills allows poverty-level families more economic opportunity.

During the 2013 legislative session, the Building South Dakota bill was approved and funded. Components of the bill include programs and funding for local infrastructure improvements, job grants, workforce education, and housing, which are integral to economic development statewide. The goal of these programs is to provide opportunities for all communities in South Dakota to build their economic base and ultimately reduce the number of poverty-level families.

SDHDA has one program (Housing Opportunity Fund) available to assist in financing workforce housing. Availability of workforce housing is often a barrier for communities in attracting economic development to their community. If housing is available, the ability for a company to expand or locate in more communities across the state will allow for more jobs and employment opportunities which are necessary to assist in reducing the number of poverty-level families.

SDHDA is a partner of the SD Native American Homeownership Coalition whose focus is to increase homeownership opportunities for Native Americans residing on Indian Reservations. One of the coalitions goals is to help tribal members with employment and services. In 2017, the coalition formed a construction internship program which provided construction skills training while providing additional labor force for local contractors. The program has proven to be successful and continued through 2023.

SDHDA has been administering the statewide ERA funds that were awarded through the CAREs Act since 2020. SDHDA also oversaw the distribution and implementation of $9.29 million in HOME-ARP funding which created new affordable housing opportunities for low-income renters and non-congregate shelters for those experiencing homelessness.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

SDHDA and GOED work with organizations to assist in developing capacity. Organizations that partner with SDHDA and GOED to carry-out the goals and objectives include communities, local planning districts, community action agencies, nonprofits, for-profits and service agencies. Notice of and information on workshops, conferences and internet webinars are provided on SDHDA's website and forwarded via email to interested parties. One on one meetings are also important training and capacity building opportunities. If additional assistance is necessary, SDHDA will request HUD Technical Assistance to provide the adequate training.

For 2025, SDHDA will provide additional training opportunities on housing development. SDHDA will continue to work with partner agencies to provide appropriate trainings and services to help develop the institutional structure.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

SDHDA is a member of several trade organizations and committees that increase the opportunity to coordinate efforts. At the state government level, SDHDA participates on the Behavioral Health Advisory Council (formerly the Mental Health Advisory Council), the State Independent Living Council, and SD Workforce Initiative. SDHDA is also a member of National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Organizations (NAHRO), Council of State Community Development Agencies (COSCDA), and National Council of State Housing Agencies (NCSHA) providing information from the national perspective as well. Involvement with these different organizations provides SDHDA an opportunity to interact and enhance coordination among public and assisted housing providers, private and government health, mental health and service agencies throughout the year.

During 2018, SDHDA and SDHHC implemented a statewide Coordinated Entry System for accessing and properly housing individuals and families who are homeless. This process involves many organizations, both housing and service providers, to ensure there is coordination and the best services are being provided. CES involves physical access points and also a 1-800 number that is administered by SDHDA with two full-time assessors.

During 2020, SDHDA worked with SD Department of Social Services and Pennington County Housing and Redevelopment Commission on the Foster Youth to Independence (FYI) Initiative. In February 2020, the MOU was signed and the process began to identify eligible youth. Former foster youth are now being housed as a result of this partnership. Currently, limited interest exists among other public housing authorities in the state to administer FYI, but sharing of information will continue with the hopes of additional housing authorities becoming involved.

HUD’s allocation of Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV’s) from 2021 through 2023 to 3 public housing authorities in South Dakota provided an opportunity for strengthening relationships with PHA’s. SDHDA

established MOU's for the prioritization and referral for EHV's through Coordinated Entry. PHA staff in Sioux Falls and Rapid City regularly participate in Coordinated Entry case conferencing and CES Committee activities.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

During 2017, the Statewide Analysis of Impediments was created. The identified impediments and current short term action steps are outlined below:

Impediment: Discrimination in the housing market -

Action Step:

1. SDHDA review new multifamily construction and rehabilitation projects to ensure compliance with design and construction standards and highlight common concerns identified and provide follow-up information and/or training to the architects and contractors.

2. Evaluate whether a training on reasonable accommodation is necessary.

Impediment: Difficulties in home purchase market

Action Step:

1. Provide fair housing training at banker's training/meeting.

2. Expand homebuyer education among Native CDFIs (Community Development Financial Institution).

Impediment: Lack of available housing units

Action Step:

1. Document the need for continued support of federal financing programs.

2. Create and document success stories for program support and "best practices" for fellow developers.

3. Evaluate current SDHDA financing programs for effectiveness for both rental and homeownership and make changes in allocation plans as necessary.

4. Create opportunity for additional development of housing in rural areas and for special needs/service enriched housing.

Impediment: Lack of education

Action Step:

1. Provide more training opportunities and/or resources for developer capacity building, understanding financing resources, habitability standards and code enforcement, renter's education, fair housing, and understanding of benefits of affordable housing in communities.

Impediment: Tenant selection criteria

Action Step:

1. Work with landlords and management companies to expand housing opportunities for those deemed as "hard to house".

2. Create and implement a landlord risk mitigation program.

3. Create policy and procedures regarding limited English proficiency.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

For activities financed under HOME, HTF, ESG, and CDBG, staff oversight ensures the activities are carried out within program regulations. SDHDA has separate administrative plans for both ESG, HTF and HOME programs that outlines the requirements during both development and operations. Prior to award of funds, the application is thoroughly reviewed to ensure program requirements are met including review of architectural drawings for incorporation of 504 and Fair Housing Requirements. During construction/rehabilitation, SDHDA's Construction Management Officer reviews all construction sites prior to draw down of HOME funds. In addition the coordinator attends the construction inspections. Once the developments have been placed in service, the physical inspections are completed by SDHDA's management officers to ensure housing quality standards are being maintained. For program requirements, HOME, HTF and ESG funded projects are monitored by SDHDA staff, both file and on-site reviews. Program requirements are reiterated within a Restrictive Covenant that is filed on the property. Annual inspections allow SDHDA staff to review operating practices, complete tenant file reviews for income test, review of Affirmative Fair Housing marketing, and allow for physical inspection of the property. SDHDA's Emergency Transfer Plan and transfer request forms are available on our website. SDHDA hasn't been involved with any transfer requests to date. SDHDA monitors the ESG, HTF, and HOME Programs to ensure long-term compliance with program requirements and comprehensive planning. Staff who work with the programs regularly monitors federal regulations and proposed changes. As being members with housing associations, SDHDA is recipient of notices and emails from both our CPD representative and our affiliate organizations. Good communication with our regional HUD office also provides SDHDA opportunities to evaluate our procedures to ensure we are in compliance with federal regulations. Annually, staff also attends trainings and meetings presented by HUD and affiliate organizations. Internal review completed by SDHDA's accounting department provides a checks and balance for expenditure deadlines and reporting requirements. In addition SDHDA hires a third party accounting firm to complete an audit on SDHDA programs. The Governor's Office of Economic Development (GOED) is responsible for insuring that grantees under the CDBG Program are carrying out their projects in accordance with Federal and State statutory and regulatory requirements set forth in the grant contract executed between the State and the grantee. GOED will provide maximum feasible delegation of responsibility and authority to grantees under the CDBG Program. GOED monitoring of CDBG grantees will be conducted in a positive, assistance oriented manner. Whenever possible, deficiencies will be rectified through constructive discussion, negotiation and assistance, and in a manner which preserves local discretion. GOED will conduct two basic types of monitoring: off-site, or "desk" monitoring, and on-site monitoring. Desk monitoring is an ongoing process in which the project administrator responsible for overseeing the grantee's project uses all available information to review the grantee's performance in carrying out the approved project. On-site monitoring is a structured review conducted by the project administrator at locations where project activities are being carried out and/or where project records are being maintained. In addition, GOED has contracted with the 6 Planning Districts throughout South Dakota to provide technical assistance to the grantee's and assist the State with monitoring duties. Checklists are utilized to ensure that all issues are addressed. The number of times a project is monitored varies upon the issues that arise during the desk and on-site monitoring.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

Per the Citizen Participation Plan, the draft Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER) was made available to the general public for review and comment. SDHDA and GOED held a listening session for the general public on February 19, 2026 to discuss the CAPER results. The Draft CAPER was presented to the SDHDA Board of Commissioners (Board) public meeting on March 10, 2026. A paid ad was published in the daily newspapers providing notice to the general public that the CAPER was available for review. The CAPER was also posted on SDHDA's website and available for mailing to interested parties. A press release was sent to all newspapers across the state. The daily papers are The Aberdeen American News; The Black Hills Pioneer; The Sioux Falls Argus Leader; The Watertown Public Opinion; The Brookings Register; The Yankton Daily Press & Dakotan; The Huron Plainsman; The public comment period ran for 15 days, March 11 - March 25, 2026.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

In 2023, the maximum award amount was increased from $770,000 to $2,020,000 in an effort to increase applications and obligate prior year funds to projects; the effort was successful and most all of the prior year funds were obligated. At present, the maximum award amount of $2,020,000 only allows the state to fully fund a maximum of 2.5 projects based on our annual CDBG allocation. In order to increase the number of projects that can be funded with our annual allocation, we are considering lowering the maximum award amount to $1,020,000 which would then allow us to fund approximately 5 projects annually with our allocation.

The State of South Dakota currently has 2 application cycles annually on April 1st and October 1st which coincides with the construction season in South Dakota. South Dakota's allocation cycle is in July and our funds are typically received in September or October so we are looking at moving to 1 application cycle on October 1st now that the state is more heavily reliant on current year funds to award to projects. This will also ensure that ample applications are received annually in order to fully obligate those funds.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in 24 CFR §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 24 CFR 91.520(e) and 24 CFR 92.351(a)

SDHDA requires owners of all HOME properties to provide a written affirmative marketing action plan prior to receiving HOME funding. HOME Compliance officer checks every five years to ensure the plan is updated. The owners are also required to submit a written narrative of the affirmative marketing efforts on an annual basis to SDHDA.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

During 2025, the amount of HOME Program Income disbursed was $2,418,710. With the Grant-Based Accounting Rule, SDHDA has elected to accumulate program income during the year and then allocate the program income the following year. During 2025, $3,824,700 of program income was received. In 2025, program income was utilized for two multifamily rental projects, two acquisition/rehab rental projects, one senior rental project, homeowner rehabilitation (nine homeowners), and security deposit assistance (ten agencies).

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 24 CFR 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 24 CFR 91.320(j)

SDHDA has additional programs that assist local rural communities in conducting housing needs studies, provides financing for workforce housing and continues to partner with agencies to address housing needs in their communities and across the state. In 2019, SDHDA also introduced the DakotaPlex which

provides an affordable housing choice for communities with population of 5,000 or less. Constructed at the Mike Durfee prison in Springfield, the delivered price of the housing units are $97,000 for two bedroom and $106,000 for three-bedroom units. The housing units can be configured as a duplex, triplex or quadplex.

The purpose of the DakotaPlex is to provide multifamily housing options in communities that often are unable to attract a housing developer. The development cost is feasible for the available market rents in the rural communities. The housing units are delivered to site and include flooring, cabinets, and appliances reducing the cost, time and effort, that normally exist with housing development.

CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h)

Describe the extent to which the grantee complied with its approved HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.

SDHDA's Housing Trust Fund Allocation Plan was approved by HUD and utilized by SDHDA to administer the HTF program. Applications for funding were due to SDHDA by the last working day of August 2025. There was a total of nine applications received requesting $5 million in HTF funding. Majority of the applicants also requested funding from the HOME program and/or Housing Tax Credits. Five of the applications were approved for funding and none have yet to be committed in IDIS.

During 2025, 18 HTF units were completed. Currently, SDHDA does not allow HTF to be utilized for homebuyer activities due to targeting to extremely low-income.

Tenure Type	0 – 30% AMI	0% of 30+ to poverty line (when poverty line is higher than 30% AMI)	% of the higher of 30+ AMI or poverty line to 50% AMI	Total Occupied Units	Units Completed, Not Occupied	Total Completed Units
Rental	18	0	0	18	0	18
Homebuyer	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 15 - CR-56 HTF Units in HTF activities completed during the period

CR-58 – Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	23	4	0	0	4
Total Labor Hours	105,828	77,140			77,140
Total Section 3 Worker Hours	9,016	16,485			16,485
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours	508	1,023			1,023

Table 14 – Total Labor Hours

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing Targeted Workers	6	2			1
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding Targeted Workers.		1			1
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).	6	1			1
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition for, off-site training.		1			1
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g., resume assistance, coaching).					
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business concerns.	7				
Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.					
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by Section 3 business concerns.	5				
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment including: drafting resumes,preparing for interviews, finding job opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.					
Held one or more job fairs.	2				
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can provide direct services or referrals.		4			3
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings, interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.					
Assisted residents with finding child care.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend community college or a four year educational institution.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend vocational/technical training.		1			1
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.					
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids from Section 3 business concerns.					
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online technologies.					
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.					

Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.					
Other.	6				

Table 15 – Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program

Narrative

There were four projects completed for HOME and HTF in Program Year 2025. The Section 3 and Targeted Section 3 benchmarks were not met. South Dakota struggles to meet these thresholds due to limited work force pool.

CDBG closed 23 projects in 2025 dating back to funding year 2016, therefore the Section 3 data listed is inflated and not typical of a normal program year.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	SOUTH DAKOTA
Organizational DUNS Number	939862108
UEI	
EIN/TIN Number	466000364
Indentify the Field Office	DENVER
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Denise
Middle Name	L
Last Name	Albertson
Suffix	
Title	Program Coordinator

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	3060 East Elizabeth Street
Street Address 2	PO Box 1237
City	Pierre
State	SD
ZIP Code	57501-1237
Phone Number	6057732598
Extension	
Fax Number	
Email Address	Denise@SDHDA.org

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Mrs
First Name	Amy
Last Name	Eldridge
Suffix	
Title	Director of Rental Housing Development
Phone Number	6057732467
Extension	

Email Address	amy@sdhda.org

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	01/01/2025
Program Year End Date	12/31/2025

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SAFE HARBOR
City: Aberdeen
State: SD
Zip Code: 57402, 0041
DUNS Number: 180934812
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 80000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: WOMEN ESCAPING A VIOLENT ENVIRONMENT
City: Custer
State: SD
Zip Code: 57730, 0729
DUNS Number: 829840227
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 0

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: WHOLENESS CENTER
City: Flandreau
State: SD
Zip Code: 57028, 0148
DUNS Number: 962693982
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20394

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: DOMESTIC VIOLENCE NETWORK
City: Madison
State: SD
Zip Code: 57042, 0110
DUNS Number: 832798065
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 0

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MITCHELL AREA SAFEHOUSE
City: Mitchell
State: SD
Zip Code: 57301, 1067
DUNS Number: 938616737
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 77250

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MISSOURI SHORES DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CENTER
City: Pierre
State: SD
Zip Code: 57501, 0398
DUNS Number: 166973883
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 39435

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CORNERSTONE RESCUE MISSION
City: Rapid City
State: SD
Zip Code: 57709, 2188
DUNS Number: 794623264
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 51250

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CORNERSTONE WOMEN/CHILDREN'S HOME
City: Rapid City
State: SD
Zip Code: 57709, 2188
DUNS Number: 794623264
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 0

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ARTEMIS HOUSE
City: Spearfish
State: SD
Zip Code: 57783, 0486
DUNS Number: 168524379
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 31930

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CRISIS INTERVENTION SHELTER SERVICES
City: Sturgis
State: SD
Zip Code: 57785, 0842
DUNS Number: 807691324
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 18334

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: WATERTOWN RESOURCE CENTER
City: Watertown
State: SD
Zip Code: 57201, 0781
DUNS Number: 964853563
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 43260

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: YANKTON HOMELESS SHELTER
City: Yankton
State: SD
Zip Code: 57078, 0675
DUNS Number: 933788283
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 30385

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: South Dakota Housing Development Authority
City: Pierre
State: SD
Zip Code: 57501, 5876
DUNS Number: 062197517
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 61841

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ROCS
City: Lake Andes
State: SD
Zip Code: 57356, 0070
DUNS Number: 150649457
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16356

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: River City Domestic Violence Center
City: Yankton
State: SD
Zip Code: 57078, 0626
DUNS Number: 431992651
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 0

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ICAP
City: Madison
State: SD
Zip Code: 57042, 2800
DUNS Number: 102298288
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 80000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Pine Ridge Reconciliation Center
City: Pine Ridge
State: SD
Zip Code: 57770, 0862
DUNS Number: 363512774
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 0

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Wambli Ska Society
City: Rapid City
State: SD
Zip Code: 57709, 2004
DUNS Number: 101363223
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 27810

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Bishop Dudley Hospitality House
City: Sioux Falls
State: SD
Zip Code: 57103, 1627
DUNS Number: 911836528
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 39140

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Watertown Cares
City: Watertown
State: SD
Zip Code: 57201, 4922
DUNS Number:
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 0

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Ginny's Safehouse
City: Redfield
State: SD
Zip Code: 57469, 1626
DUNS Number:
UEI: NG3JQZVMMU59
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 0

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Pejuta Waste Otipi
City: Rapid City
State: SD
Zip Code: 57709, 0887
DUNS Number:
UEI: LTSPLBJZHGQ6
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16686

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	67
Children	75
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	**142**

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	127
Children	101
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	**228**

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	5,261
Children	625
Don't Know/Refused/Other	10
Missing Information	0
Total	**5,896**

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	311
Children	12
Don't Know/Refused/Other	3
Missing Information	0
Total	**326**

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	5,766
Children	813
Don't Know/Refused/Other	12
Missing Information	0
Total	**6,591**

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	4,007
Female	2,533
Transgender	8
Don't Know/Refused/Other	43
Missing Information	0
Total	**6,591**

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	812
18-24	514
25 and over	5,253
Don't Know/Refused/Other	12
Missing Information	0
Total	**6,591**

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	221	0	1	220
Victims of Domestic Violence	110	3	2	105
Elderly	194	3	3	188
HIV/AIDS	44	1	0	43
Chronically Homeless	220	0	5	215
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	2,141	28	70	2,043
Chronic Substance Abuse	3,330	15	61	3,254
Other Disability	3,434	51	85	3,298
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

8. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	0
Total Number of bed-nights provided	0
Capacity Utilization	0.00%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

9. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2023	**2024**	**2025**
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	15,307	34,889	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	3,234	4,047	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	1,494	8,786	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	**20,035**	**47,722**	**0**

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2023	**2024**	**2025**
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	566,191	5,846,604	947
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	16,769	36,477	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	1,589	17,969	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	**584,549**	**5,901,050**	**947**

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2023	**2024**	**2025**
Essential Services	8,329	16,792	2,589
Operations	65,579	107,498	2,787
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	**73,908**	**124,290**	**5,376**

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2023	**2024**	**2025**
Street Outreach	0	33,380	0
HMIS	24,577	42,577	0
Administration	6,850	13,853	147

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2023	2024	2025
	709,919	6,162,872	6,470

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2023	2024	2025
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	60,263	0
Local Government	0	20,691	0
Private Funds	110,356	253,540	6,470
Other	20,683	13,853	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	**131,039**	**348,347**	**6,470**

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2023	2024	2025
	840,958	6,511,219	12,940

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities